

Exhibit 300 (BY2009)

PART ONE	
OVERVIEW	
1. Date of Submission:	2007-09-10
2. Agency:	015
3. Bureau:	45
4. Name of this Capital Asset:	e-Services (eSVS)
5. Unique Project Identifier:	015-45-01-11-01-4691-00
6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2009?	
Mixed Life Cycle	
7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?	
FY2001 or earlier	
8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap.	
<p>E-Services established a Web portal-based family of services to promote the goal of conducting IRS transactions with taxpayers and tax practitioners electronically. This project is delivering online electronic services to tax practitioners and other third parties, such as banks and brokerage firms that file Form 1099. Major services include electronic account resolution, transcript delivery, secure e-mail, Modernized e-File capabilities, disclosure authorization, and bulk Tax ID Number matching. Business Systems Modernization fully deployed all e-services Release 1.0 and 2.0 products; they are currently available via Internet. In May 2008 the upgrades to People Soft software platform will be complete. Once the upgrade and modifications are completed, e-services will transition to routine operations and maintenance. The system allows customers to apply online for electronic filing status and provide basic database maintenance and support online to authorized third parties who have been granted access to disclosure authorization with an approved Power of Attorney. The system allows users to verify a social security number, name, electronic account resolution, and other common services which have been provided using employee resources. The development and implementation of this suite of products and services have been in progress for several years. One of the single most significant benefits to tax practitioners using e-services is the tremendous increase in customer satisfaction in IRS problem resolution. The increase comes directly from the tax practitioner's ability to access information in seconds versus days or weeks. This investment is in the Control Phase of the CPIC process. The e-services project automates existing manual business processes of e-file application transcript acquisition, Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN) lookup against IRS records and taxpayer account inquiry. It changes formerly manual-intensive procedures into automated, rule-based business processes and offerings. Rules will decrease the number of error-prone submissions and will report only the exceptions to the rules. This project will help the IRS to move toward the Congressional goal of achieving customer and employee satisfaction. Taxpayers who e-file have the benefit of quicker refunds, more accurate transaction processing, and access to an array of electronic services.</p>	
9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?	
yes	
9.a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?	
2007-08-16	
10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?	
yes	
11. Project Manager Name:	
Wiesenthal, Alan J	
Project Manager Phone:	
202-283-6125	
Project Manager Email:	
Alan.J.Wiesenthal@irs.gov	
11.a. What is the current FAC-P/PM certification level of the project/program manager?	

TBD	
12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project.	
no	
12.a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?	
no	
12.b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)	
no	
13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives?	
yes	
If yes, select the initiatives that apply:	
Competitive Sourcing	
Expanded E-Government	
13.a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)	
Expanded E-Government and Competitive Sourcing are two initiatives that apply to e-services investment. E-Services established a Web portal-based family of services to promote the goal of conducting IRS transactions with taxpayers and tax practitioners electronically. Delivering online electronic services. In the processing of identifying resources to support this investment it was identified cost effective to competitively outsource this investment.	
14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)?	
yes	
14.a. If yes, does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review?	
yes	
14.b. If yes, what is the name of the PARTed program?	
Internal Revenue Service Taxpayer Service	
14.c. If yes, what rating did the PART receive?	
Effective	
15. Is this investment for information technology?	
yes	
16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)?	
Level 2	
17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)	
(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment	
18. Is this investment identified as high risk on the Q4 - FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB memorandum M-05-23)?	
yes	
19. Is this a financial management system?	
no	
19.a.2. If no, what does it address?	
The e-Services investment aligns to the Treasury Architecture Plan through the Taxation Management vertical Line of Business. e-Services is found in "Taxation Management" within Appendix D of Treasury's FY2006 IT Portfolio Alignment Summary. e-Services aligns to the IRS Enterprise Transition Plan, Volume 1, Section 4.1.2 "Strategic Assessment".	
20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2008 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)	
Hardware	0
Software	28
Services	70

Other	2																												
21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?																													
yes																													
22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions.																													
Name																													
Carlos Moura																													
Phone Number																													
202- 927-0730																													
Title																													
Management and Program Analyst																													
Email																													
carlos.moura@irs.gov																													
23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?																													
yes																													
24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas?																													
yes																													
SUMMARY OF SPEND																													
1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated Government FTE Cost, and should be excluded from the amounts shown for Planning, Full Acquisition, and Operation/Maintenance. The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for Planning, Full Acquisition, and Operation/Maintenance. For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.																													
All amounts represent Budget Authority																													
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PY-1 & Earlier</th> <th>PY</th> <th>CY</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>-2006</th> <th>2007</th> <th>2008</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Planning Budgetary Resources</td> <td>10.490</td> <td>0.035</td> <td>0.039</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Acquisition Budgetary Resources</td> <td>31.740</td> <td>1.200</td> <td>1.050</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Maintenance Budgetary Resources</td> <td>0.000</td> <td>9.972</td> <td>10.860</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Government FTE Cost</td> <td>29.520</td> <td>2.856</td> <td>3.141</td> </tr> <tr> <td># of FTEs</td> <td>238</td> <td>23</td> <td>26</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			PY-1 & Earlier	PY	CY		-2006	2007	2008	Planning Budgetary Resources	10.490	0.035	0.039	Acquisition Budgetary Resources	31.740	1.200	1.050	Maintenance Budgetary Resources	0.000	9.972	10.860	Government FTE Cost	29.520	2.856	3.141	# of FTEs	238	23	26
	PY-1 & Earlier	PY	CY																										
	-2006	2007	2008																										
Planning Budgetary Resources	10.490	0.035	0.039																										
Acquisition Budgetary Resources	31.740	1.200	1.050																										
Maintenance Budgetary Resources	0.000	9.972	10.860																										
Government FTE Cost	29.520	2.856	3.141																										
# of FTEs	238	23	26																										
Note: For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies).																													
Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.																													
2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?																													
no																													
3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2008 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes.																													
BCR for FY07 & FY08 due to passback values pending OMB approval.																													
PERFORMANCE																													
In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the																													

annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding Measurement Area and Measurement Grouping identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond FY 2009.

	Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Planned Improvement to the Baseline	Actual Results
1	2007	Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively	Mission and Business Results	Taxation Management	Reduce the time it takes to process an Electronic tax Return Originator (ERO) application	28 plus days to process Electronic tax Return Originator (ERO) application	5 days.	1 day.
2	2007	Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively	Processes and Activities	Errors	Reporting Agent Error Rate	4% current Error Rate.	1 % error rate reduction	1 % error rate reduction met in Q4 2007
3	2007	Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively	Customer Results	New Customers and Market Penetration	Total number of Transcript requests via e-Services TDS	7,869,228 Transcript Requests in 2006	8,656,150 Transcripts requested a 10% increase	9,074,845 Transcripts requested as August 6, 2007, a 15% increase.
4	2007	Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively	Technology	Functionality	downtime for e-Services Applications	Currently, the system could take up to 2 weeks to fix a problem with the application.	1day turn around using a monitoring system to be proactive, and minimize the down time.	1 day turnaround time met.
5	2008	Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively	Technology	Functionality	Enhance the applications to better meet customer expectations for turnaround time	Greater than 1 week turnaround time	1 day	
6	2008	Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively	Customer Results	New Customers and Market Penetration	expand the delivery of transcripts to small volume clients	Baseline threshold of 5 electronic returns to qualify for transcript delivery services	1 electronic return filed - new threshold to qualify new external trading partners	

						(TDS)		
7	2008	Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively	Mission and Business Results	Taxation Management	Improve turnaround time for Disclosure Authorization processing	25 day turnaround time for processing DA request.	5 day turnaround time for processing DA request	
8	2008	Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively	Processes and Activities	Errors	Reduce human Error Rate.	4% Human Error Rate.	2%.Reduction in Human Error Rate.	
9	2009	Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively	Processes and Activities	Errors	Reduce human Error Rate.	4% Human Error Rate.	1%.Reduction in Human Error Rate	
10	2009	Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively	Mission and Business Results	Taxation Management	Improve turnaround time for Disclosure Authorization processing	25 day turnaround time for processing DA request.	1 day turnaround time for processing DA request	
11	2009	Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively	Customer Results	Delivery Time	Improve turnaround time for taxpayer authorization (signature) Disclosure Authorization	2 day turn around time.	1 day turn around time.	
12	2009	Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively	Technology	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	Develop and Improve Applications to provide Increased service to the Taxpayers	Limitations - thresholds restrict access for smaller Third-Party filers	Provide 1 additional self-service solution for smaller third-party filers	

EA

In order to successfully address this area of the business case and capital asset plan you must ensure the investment is included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process, and is mapped to and supports the FEA. You must also ensure the business case demonstrates the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?

yes

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?

yes

2.a. If yes, provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

e-services

3. Is this investment identified in a completed (contains a target architecture) and approved segment architecture?

yes

3.a. If yes, provide the name of the segment architecture as provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

Enterprise Transition Plan, Volume 1: Enterprise Transition Strategy (IRS)

4. Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/egov/>.

Component: Use existing SRM Components or identify as NEW. A NEW component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

Reused Name and UPI: A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

Internal or External Reuse?: Internal reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. External reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

Funding Percentage: Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the funding level transferred to another agency to pay for the service.

	Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	Service Type	Component	Reused Component Name	Reused UPI	Internal or External Reuse?	Funding %
1	Partner Relationship Management	Insuring that development of "two-way" transactions or interactions that build relationships across IRS organizational units and other external partners continue.	Customer Relationship Management	Partner Relationship Management			No Reuse	30
2	Personalization	Information is tailored and disseminated in a manner which meets the customer's needs.	Customer Preferences	Personalization			No Reuse	15
3	Content Publishing and Delivery	Important information regarding product availability, changes, as well as customer submission confirmation will be posted on the Internet or by e-mail notification as appropriate.	Content Management	Content Publishing and Delivery			No Reuse	10
4	Online Tutorials	On-Line guidance to assist customers in meeting specific application requirements.	Customer Initiated Assistance	Online Tutorials			No Reuse	5
5	Self-Service	Capability is provided to allow the customer to submit and obtain necessary information via Internet/Intranet	Customer Initiated Assistance	Self-Service			No Reuse	30

		access to applications.					
--	--	-------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

5. To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component: Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications.

Service Specification: In the Service Specification field, Agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

	SRM Component	Service Area	Service Category	Service Standard	Service Specification (i.e., vendor and product name)
1	Personalization	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	PeopleSoft People Tools
2	Partner Relationship Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	PeopleSoft People Tools
3	Content Publishing and Delivery	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Internet	IBM - WebSphere
4	Content Publishing and Delivery	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	PeopleSoft People Tools
5	Content Publishing and Delivery	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	IBM Various - SUN Os
6	Online Tutorials	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	PeopleSoft People Tools
7	Self-Service	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	PeopleSoft People Tools

6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?

no

PART TWO

RISK

You should perform a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of the investment's life-cycle, develop a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

Answer the following questions to describe how you are managing investment risks.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?

yes

1.a. If yes, what is the date of the plan?

2007-04-03

1.b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?

no

3. Briefly describe how investment risks are reflected in the life cycle cost estimate and investment schedule:

e-Services have a risk management capability in place that requires monthly meeting with active participation from the business customer, internal developers, and contractors. The Project Manager and project team work together to identify, manage and tract risk in the Item Tracking Reporting and Control (ITRAC) system. Cost associated with risk capability are captured in the system and mitigated through the following process: Implement enhances based on funding availability, apply quality review of productivity and conduct follow-up meetings on determined risk and candidate risk. Additional, the PM uses the Value Measuring Methodology (VMM) to risk-adjusted cost by defining a qualitative and quantitative risk scale.

COST & SCHEDULE	
1. Does the earned value management system meet the criteria in ANSI/EIA Standard 748?	
no	
2. Is the CV% or SV% greater than $\pm 10\%$?	
no	
3. Has the investment re-baselined during the past fiscal year?	
yes	
3.a. If yes, when was it approved by the agency head?	
2007-09-12	